Introduction

The meat production process has several steps and each of them is significant for production of safe and quality product. Consumption of beef meat ranks in third place in Serbia, after pork and poultry (Ostojic et al., 2006). Improving carcass performance and meat quality traits are the main objectives of most research carried out in the beef production area. Meat quality is an important criterion that influences consumer decisions to purchase beef (Baltic and Boskovic, 2015; Djordjevic, 2016). Local demand is partially covered by imported beef because domestic production can not fulfill the requirements of the local market. In order to improve the current local situation, it is necessary to enhance and maintain agro-economic policies and strengthen the primary production. Some of the possible solutions for better production and quality are improving the qualities of breeding stock, nutrition and animal breeding technology (Aleksic et al., 2011; Sefer et al., 2015). Development of greater beef production volumes, improved beef meat quality and placement of higher-value meat on the market require improvement to the quality of meat from carcasses. Understandably, this refers to the edible parts of the carcass, carcass conformation and the carcass fat coverage, plus processing quality and sensory properties (Sretenovic et al., 2011; Ostojic-Andric et al., 2012).

The quality of the slaughtered animals is a subject of interest for both primary production and the meat industry. Based on the estimated value and classification of carcasses, it is possible to appropriately compensate producers i.e. the owners of animals, but also to assess the market value and industry profit. In order to assess carcass quality (meatiness) more thoroughly, parameters such as: slaughter weight, age of animal, carcass weight, carcass yield, carcass conformation, fat coverage, musculature length (m. longissimus dorsi) etc. should be considered. Animals are classified based on age, sex, physiological status and meatiness (Stamenkovic and Radovanovic, 2004). Carcass classification should be performed in slaughterhouses immediately after the veterinary examination and measurement of the carcasses weight.

In developed countries, quality is taken into account through a balanced approach of carcass meat quality assessment. The SEUROP classification system, used in the EU, enables prediction of the amount of meat in the carcass (EC No. 1249, 2008), which is the basis for determining the selling price of each animal. Given that monetary compensation
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depends on the achieved quality, it is also an incentive for the improvement of cattle breeding, zootechnical conditions including hygiene, and welfare and health of animals in primary production. Slaughterhouses in which over 75 animals are slaughtered weekly (annual average) are obliged to apply the SEUROP classification system. The selection of personnel involved in this activity is of great importance and they require adequate education and training. The uniformity of the carcass quality assessment is achieved by precisely defined rules that include parameters and criteria in the corresponding regulations that concern: the category of carcass according to age and physiological status (calves, elderly calves, heifers, young bulls, castrated male animals and cows), carcass processing at slaughter for classification and categorization, criteria for scoring the carcass parameters of conformation and the fat tissue degree coverage. As already emphasized, carcass meat quality assessment has material significance since it enables payment for meat on the basis of quality achieved, and therefore, the SEUROP system has been further improved with subclasses within each class in order to determine the quantity of meat in the most complete manner.

The aim of the present study is to examine young bull carcass quality in a Serbian slaughterhouse according to slaughter weight, carcass yield, carcass conformation, and fat coverage degree, the criteria used in EU countries.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted from July 10 2014 to August 4 2014 in a slaughterhouse in Raska district, Serbia. The examination included carcasses of 100 slaughtered young bulls of the domestic Simmental breed, aged about one year from purchase.

Slaughter weight was measured after unloading at the slaughterhouse, while carcass weight was determined 45 minutes after slaughter, both on scales with accuracy of ±0.5 kg.

Carcass weight included the processed carcass without the following: internal organs (with the exception of the kidneys, which were included), skin, head, lower parts of legs (separated at the lower part of the carpal, tarsal joints were included), large blood vessels, spinal cord and the genital organs.

Carcass conformation and fat coverage were determined 45 minutes after slaughter, according to SEUROP classification (EC No. 1249, 2008). Based on the carcass conformation, carcasses were classified into six classes: S (superior): all profiles convex to super-convex; exceptional muscle development; U (very good): profiles on the whole convex; very good muscle development; R (good): profiles on the whole straight; good muscle development; O (fair): profiles straight to concave; average muscle development; and P (poor): all profiles concave to very concave; poor muscle development.

Carcass fat coverage was estimated by numerical grades, from: 1 (low): none up to low fat cover; 2 (slight): slight fat cover, flesh visible almost everywhere; 3 (average): flesh, with the exception of the round and shoulder, almost everywhere covered with fat, slight deposits of fat in the thoracic cavity; 4 (high): flesh covered with fat, but on the round and shoulder still partly visible, some distinctive fat deposits in the thoracic cavity; to 5 (very high): entire carcass covered with fat; heavy fat deposits in the thoracic cavity.

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package Stats Soft INC (Statistica For Windows, version 6.0 computer program manual Tulsa, Stat Soft Inc., 1995). Descriptive statistical parameters (mean, standard deviation, standard error of the mean, minimum, maximum, and coefficient of variation) are presented in Table 1.

Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the average mean slaughter weight, carcass weight and carcass yield of all 100 cattle carcasses.

Results from Table 1 show that average cattle slaughter weight was 518.77 kg with a coefficient of variation of 10.74%. The mean carcass weight was 275.21 kg with a coefficient of variation of 10.4%. The mean carcass yield was 52.61% and ranged from 48.00 to 63.00%.

According to statistical data in Serbia, the average weight of adult animals before slaughter during 1995 to 2000 was 478 kg and from 2006 to 2011 was 504 kg (Dokmanovic et al., 2014). Lower weights compared to our results could be due to the fact that in those data, cattle were not separated by age and sex. Aleksic et al. (2002) showed the average animal weight before slaughter was 592.7 kg, hot carcass weight with the lard was 329.9 kg, while average carcass yield was 55.66%. Similar results were found by Ostojic et al. (2007), who reported Simmental bulls weighed 579 kg after 477 days, while the average carcass yield was 57.1%. In the same study, cross-breeds of Charolais and Limousine of younger age (446 and 443 days) achieved higher body weight at the end of fattening (621 kg and 590 kg, respectively).
Drca (2009) reported that male domestic Simmental type cattle from three different producers in Serbia had carcass yields between 54.20% and 55.40%. Similar results were reported by Lukic et al. (2016), who showed the average slaughter weight of male Simmental beef cattle was 586.9 kg. The average carcass yield of male Simmental beef cattle was 56.56% (Lukic et al., 2016), which is higher than the Simmental carcass yield in our study (52.61%). Among 54 young Simmental bull carcasses, the average weights of two groups of cattle were 478.40 kg and 569.42 kg (Petrovic et al., 2016). Average carcass yield was 51.87% (total for both groups), and ranged from 42.00 to 57.00% (Petrovic et al., 2016). Ciric et al. (2017) found mean slaughter weight of domestic Simmental bulls was 583.9 kg, while average carcass yield was 56.32%. Similar results were determined by Petrovic et al. (2017), who showed the average slaughter weight of male Simmental beef cattle was 516.23 kg with coefficient of variation of 10.47%, while average carcass yield was 52.37% and ranged from 39.00 to 63.00%. Finally, Petrovic et al. (2017) analyzed 80 young bull carcasses and found the average live weight was 497.74 kg with a coefficient of variation of 5.83%, while average carcass yield was 52.74%, ranging from 42.00 to 59.00%.

The following parameters are used for cattle carcass classification in the EU (EC No. 1249, 2008): carcass weight, conformation, meatiness, as well as the development of the prime cuts (round, the back and the shoulders), fat coverage degree, etc. A favorable conformation (excellent) of carcasses implies that all profiles are extremely well developed and convex. The round in excellent carcasses has a convex profile, the back is well developed and wide and the shoulders are filled and well-formed. Poor or unfavorable carcass conformation is characterized by concave, poorly developed leg, narrow back, straight shoulders and convex bones. Fat coverage refers to the amount and arrangement of subcutaneous, kidney and pelvic fat and residues on the inside surfaces of the chest and abdominal cavity. Fat protects the meat from oxidation, slows down the surface drying of meat, reduces the toughness and contributes to good juiciness and aroma (Vukovic, 2012). From a quality point of view, it is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Slaughter weight (kg)</th>
<th>Carcass weight (kg)</th>
<th>Carcass yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean±standard deviation</td>
<td>518.77±55.74</td>
<td>275.21±28.6</td>
<td>52.61±2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>376.0</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>652.0</td>
<td>342.0</td>
<td>63.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of variation (%)</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Percentage of carcass classes O and R among the studied cattle

**Figure 2.** Percentage of studied cattle with degrees of carcass fat tissue coverage
considered as favorable that a beef carcass has a uniform and well-distributed, continuous, but not too thick, layer of fat.

Figure 1 shows the results of carcass classification according to SEUROP classification, while Figure 2 shows the results of carcass classification according to fat coverage degree (n=100). In terms of SEUROP classification, only two categories were observed among our studied cattle: O (fair) (n=41) and R (good) (n=59). Regarding degree of fat coverage, three categories were determined (2, 3 and 4). One carcass was labeled as category 2. More carcasses were labeled as category 3 (n=71) than category 4 (n=12).

Similar results were obtained by Petrovic et al. (2016) who evaluated class of carcass based on the conformation as O for 77.5% of carcasses and as R for 22.5% of carcasses. The carcass fat tissue coverage degree was rated as 3 for 88.75% and 4 for 11.25% of carcasses (Petrovic et al., 2016). Petrovic et al. (2017) analyzed fat tissue coverage in 123 young bull carcasses. Their results showed three categories of fat cover (2, 3, 4), similar to our current study. More (n=107) carcasses were classified as category 3 than category 2 (1 carcass) or category 4 (15 carcasses) (Petrovic et al., 2017). In research by Petrovic et al. (2017) for all 80 young bull carcasses, only two categories, in terms of class were seen: O (fair) (n=62, 77.5%) and R (good) (n=18, 22.5%).

Meat quality can be affected by pre-slaughter factors and post slaughter factors of animals including gender, age, feeding, animal handling, animal welfare, slaughter of animal, genotype of animals. In the EU, beef carcass classification for conformation and fatness plays an important role in international meat trade marketing. This is why meat price in the market depends on carcass conformation.

Conclusion

Based on the results and their critical considerations the following can be concluded:

- The mean carcass weight was 518.77±55.74 kg;
- The mean carcass yield was 52.61±2.14% and it ranged from 48.00 to 63.00%;
- Carcasses were evaluated as having conformation O in 41% of cases and as R in 59% of cases;
- The carcass fat tissue coverage degree was rated as 2 for 1%, 3 for 12% and 4 for 87% of carcasses.
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