Content is avaliable at SCOPUS

Meat Technology — Special Issue 66/3

www.meatcon.rs • www.journalmeattechnology.com



UDK: 637.5 66.017

ID: 179321865

https://doi.org/10.18485/meattech.2025.66.3.93

Review Paper

Sustainable nanofiber-based strategies for improving quality and safety in meat systems

Furkan Şenol¹, İlgin Uzun¹, Özlem Yüncü-Boyacı¹ and Meltem Serdaroğlu^{1*}

¹ Ege University, Engineering Faculty, Food Engineering Department, 35100 Bornova, Izmir, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Sustainable nanofibers Meat systems Bioactive delivery Oxidative stability Antimicrobial packaging Food safety

ABSTRACT

Nanofiber technology has emerged as a sustainable strategy to improve the quality and safety of meat systems. With their high surface area, tunable porosity, and encapsulation efficiency, nanofibers enable applications in active packaging, intelligent sensing, and functional reformulation. Biopolymer-based nanofiber films, particularly from plant (pea and mungbean) proteins, enhance oxygen and moisture barriers, extend shelf life, and provide mechanical stability. When combined with natural antimicrobials or antioxidants, they allow controlled release systems that reduce microbial growth and lipid oxidation without compromising sensory attributes. Moreover, intelligent nanofiber packaging contributes to real-time spoilage detection, while functional reformulation supports phosphate and nitrite replacement. Recent advances highlight protein isolates as promising materials for nanofiber production, aligning with sustainability and circular economy principles. Although challenges remain in scalability, cost, and regulatory approval, nanofibers represent a versatile platform for developing clean-label and eco-friendly meat systems.

1. Introduction

Meat and meat products are highly perishable due to their nutrient-rich composition, high water activity, and vulnerability to microbial spoilage and oxidative deterioration. These factors not only shorten shelf life but also compromise quality and safety. Conventional preservation methods rely heavily on synthetic additives such as phosphates, nitrites, and antioxidants, which have been effective but are increasingly criticized due to potential health risks and rising consumer demand for clean-label and sustainable alternatives. In parallel, concerns over non-biodegradable packaging materials further drive the search for innovative and eco-friendly solutions (*Mills*, 2014; *Amna et al.*, 2015).

Nanofiber technology has emerged as a promising strategy to address these challenges. Owing to their high surface-to-volume ratio, tunable porosity, and ability to encapsulate and release bioactive compounds in a controlled manner, nanofibers enable multifunctional applications in meat systems (*Lim*, 2017). They have been shown to enhance oxidative stability, inhibit microbial growth, improve texture, and extend shelf life, while also serving as carriers for natural antimicrobials and antioxidants (*Arkoun et al.*, 2017; *Liu et al.*, 2022). In addition, nanofiber-based films can improve barrier properties of packaging, function as freshness indicators, and contribute to the reformulation of low-additive meat products (*Jiang et al.*, 2024).

*Corresponding author: Meltem Serdaroğlu, meltem.serdaroglu@ege.edu.tr

Paper received September 4th 2025. Paper accepted September 15th 2025.

The paper was presented at the 63rd International Meat Industry Conference "Food for Thought: Innovations in Food and Nutrition" – Zlatibor, October 05th-08th 2025.

Published by Institute of Meat Hygiene and Technology - Belgrade, Serbia.

This is an open access article CC BY licence (http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0)

From a sustainability perspective, the utilization of renewable biopolymers, such as proteins and polysaccharides, in nanofiber production reduces reliance on fossil-derived polymers and aligns with consumer expectations for environmentally friendly processing. Collectively, these advances highlight nanofibers as versatile tools capable of simultaneously improving quality, safety, and sustainability in modern meat systems.

2. Production strategies for nanofibers

Nanofibers can be produced by various methods, such as phase separation, ball-milling, homogenization, self-assembly, freeze-drying, electrospinning, and other spinning techniques, including air and force spinning (Henriksson et al., 2007; Piras et al., 2019; Delgado-Aguilar et al., 2015). Electrospinning remains the most versatile and extensively applied technique in food systems. Applying a high-voltage electric field to polymer solutions or melts generates ultrafine fibers with high surface area and interconnected pore structures (Ji et al., 2024). Electrospinning enables precise control over fiber morphology and the incorporation of bioactive compounds, such as antioxidants, antimicrobials, and colorants. Advanced variants—including coaxial, needleless, and multi-jet electrospinning-enhance encapsulation efficiency and scalability, though equipment cost and throughput remain challenges (Zahmatkeshan et al., 2019; Sofi et al., 2020). Other strategies, such as self-assembly, interfacial polymerization, and freeze-drying, offer opportunities for designing functional nanostructures, but are less established for food applications due to technical and economic constraints (Wei et al., 2012). Overall, mechanical methods are advantageous for bulk nanofiber production, whereas electrospinning provides unmatched versatility for developing functional nanofibers tailored for preservation, active packaging, and reformulation of meat products (Jiang et al., 2014).

3. Functional applications in meat systems

3.1 Control of lipid oxidation

Lipid oxidation is one of the most critical factors leading to meat quality deterioration, resulting in rancidity, discoloration, and nutrient loss (*Serdaroğlu et al.*, 2024). Nanofiber-based systems can effectively encapsulate and gradually release natural antioxidants, thereby prolonging oxida-

tive stability during storage (*Ansarifar et al.*, 2022). Electrospun nanofibers loaded with compounds, such as α-tocopherol, polyphenols, and essential oils, have demonstrated significant reductions in thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) and peroxide values in beef, chicken, and fish products (*Aytac et al.*, 2017; *Khaledian et al.*, 2019; *Lin et al.*, 2019). In addition, the intrinsic antioxidant potential of whey protein isolate-based nanofibers further supports their potential as clean-label strategies to delay oxidative rancidity (*Carvalho et al.*, 2018).

3.2 Antimicrobial effects

Microbial spoilage remains a major concern for meat safety and shelf life. Nanofibers incorporated with antimicrobial agents—including essential oils, organic acids, polyphenols, or bacteriocins—exhibit strong inhibitory effects against foodborne pathogens, such as *E. coli, Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria monocytogenes*. Electrospinning allows efficient encapsulation of these compounds, preventing premature volatilization or deactivation and ensuring sustained release during storage. Chitosan- and gelatin-based nanofibers, in particular, have been shown to extend the microbial stability of fresh meat and poultry products while maintaining sensory attributes (*Arkoun et al.*, 2017; *Lin et al.*, 2018b; *Liu et al.*, 2022).

3.3 Functional reformulation and additive replacement

Beyond preservation, nanofibers contribute to the functional reformulation of meat products by improving water-holding capacity, emulsification, and textural properties (*Jiang et al.*, 2024). This makes them attractive as natural alternatives to conventional additives such as phosphates and nitrites. For instance, nanofibers incorporating cinnamic aldehyde, thyme oil, and plant or animal protein sources have shown potential to replace nitrites while maintaining color and microbial safety (*Aytac et al.*, 2017; *Ceylan et al.*, 2022; *Dogan et al.*, 2022). Recent studies investigating nanofiber-based applications in meat systems are summarized in Table 1.

3.4 Sensory quality and consumer acceptance

The integration of nanofibers into meat systems should not compromise sensory quality. Studies indicate that antioxidant- and antimicrobial-loaded nanofibers enhance juiciness, preserve color

stability, and reduce off-flavors associated with lipid oxidation (*Dogan et al.*, 2022; *Yilmaz et al.*, 2022). Moreover, the use of biopolymer-based nanofibers

aligns with consumer expectations for sustainability, naturality, and clean-label production, thereby improving market acceptance (*Jiang et al.*, 2014).

Table 1. Applications of Nanofiber-Based Systems in Meat Products

| Nanofiber Type | Active Components/ Biological Activity | Meat Product | Findings | References |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Chitosan and Poly(ethylene oxide) Nanofibers | Chitosan | Fresh beef slices | Increased bioavailability of chitosan; antibacterial effects against <i>E. coli, L. innocua, S. aureus</i> , and <i>S.</i> Typhimurium | Arkoun et al. (2017) |
| Gelatin, Chitosan, and 3-Phenyllactic Acid (GCP) Nanofibers | Chitosan and PLA | Chicken breast | Controlled release of antibacterial agents; enhanced antibacterial effect against <i>Staphylococcus</i> aureus and <i>Escherichia coli</i> | Liu et al. (2022) |
| Gelatin, Glycerin, and ε-Polylysine Nanofiber- Coated Wraps | ε-Polylysine | Beef | Improved mechanical properties; antibacterial effect against <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> | Lin et al. (2018a) |
| ε-PL and Chitosan Electrospun Nanofibers | ε-PL, Chitosan | Fresh chicken slices | Reduced growth of <i>S</i> . Typhimurium and <i>S. enteritidis</i> ; use of nanofiber-coated aluminum foil | Lin et al. (2018b) |
| Polyurethane Nanofibers | Olive oil (VOO) and zinc oxide (ZnO) | Fresh meat | Inhibition of Staphylococcus aureus and Salmonella; potential replacement for PVC film | Anma et al. (2015) |
| Chitosan Nanofibers | Tea tree oil | Chicken meat | Increased bioavailability and sustained release; enhanced antibacterial effect against <i>Salmonella</i> | Cui et al. (2018) |
| Glycyrrhiza Polysaccharide Nanofibers | Tea tree oil, encapsulated with gliadin nanoparticles | Chicken and pork meat | Efficient encapsulation and release of tea tree oil; reduction of <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium | Cai et al. (2021) |
| PVA Nanofibers | Black seed oil and curcumin | Salmon fillet | Sous vide (SV) cooking with nanofiber-coated fillet; reduced bacterial growth (TMAB and TPB), lowered TBA values | Ceylan et al. (2022) |
| Chitosan Nanofibers | Chrysanthemum essential oil | Fresh beef slices | Slow release of essential oil; long-term antibacterial effects; reduced TBARS values | Lin et al. (2019) |
| Polyvinylpyrrolidone Nanofibers | Lavender essential oil | Minced meat | Slow release of essential oil; reduction of aerobic mesophilic bacteria, psychrotrophic bacteria, yeast, and molds | Dogan et al. (2022) |
| Gelatin Nanofibers | Eugenol | Beef samples | Reduced bacterial growth (TMAB and TPB) and TBA; improved organoleptic and textural properties | Yilmaz et al. (2022) |
| Chitosan Nanofibers | Liquid smoke | Sea bass fillet | Slow and sustained release of liquid smoke; reduction of TPB, YM, and TMAB | Ceylan et al. (2017) |
| Cellulose Nanofibers | Ginger essential oil and stearic acid | Ready- to-cook chicken meat | Reduced growth of lactic acid bacteria, psychrotrophic bacteria, yeast, and molds; lowered peroxide values | Khaledian et al. (2019) |
| PLA Nanofibers | α-Tocopherol and cyclodextrin complex | Beef samples | Improved solubility and preservation of α-TC; reduced TBARS values | Aytac et al. (2017) |
| Cellulose Nanofibers | Anthocyanin | Meat and fish | Increased hydration peroxide RSA | Hazarika et al. (2023) |

4. Conclusion

Nanofiber technology represents a sustainable and multifunctional approach for enhancing the quality and safety of meat systems. By enabling controlled release of natural antimicrobials and antioxidants, nanofibers can effectively reduce microbial growth, delay lipid oxidation, and maintain desirable sensory properties. Their application extends beyond preservation, providing opportunities for functional reformulation, such as phosphate and nitrite replacement, in line with clean-label expectations. Moreover, the utilization of plantderived proteins for nanofiber production aligns with sustainability goals and circular economy principles. Despite existing challenges related to largescale production, cost-efficiency, and regulatory frameworks, nanofibers offer significant potential as next-generation solutions that integrate active, intelligent, and eco-friendly functions into meat systems. Their future adoption in industrial practice will contribute to healthier, safer, and more sustainable meat products.

5. Future perspectives

Although nanofiber technologies are highly promising for meat preservation and reformulation, several barriers hinder their large-scale adoption. Key challenges include scaling up electrospinning processes, managing production costs, and ensuring compliance with food safety regulations. Future research should prioritize the development of multifunctional nanofibers with combined antioxidant, antimicrobial, and sensing capabilities, enabling both protection and real-time monitoring of meat quality and safety. Furthermore, the utilization of renewable biopolymers, such as plant-derived proteins and polysaccharides, not only supports sustainability, but also adds value to agricultural by-products, aligning with clean-label and circular economy principles (Singh et al., 2023).

Disclosure Statement: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding: This work was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) [grant numbers 1919B012469808].

References

- Amna, T., Yang, J., Ryu, K. S., & Hwang, I. H. (2015). Electrospun antimicrobial hybrid mats: Innovative packaging material for meat and meat-products. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52(7), 4600–4606. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-014-1508-2
- Ansarifar, E., Hedayati, S., Zeinali, T., Fathabad, A. E., Zarban, A., Marszalek, K., & Mousavi Khaneghah, A. (2022). Encapsulation of jujube extract in electrospun nanofiber: Release profile, functional effectiveness, and application for active packaging. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 15(9), 2009–2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-022-02860-x
- Arkoun, M., Daigle, F., Heuzey, M. C., & Ajji, A. (2017). Anti-bacterial electrospun chitosan-based nanofibers: A bacterial membrane perforator. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 5(4), 865–874. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.468
- Aytac, Z., Keskin, N. O. S., Tekinay, T., & Uyar, T. (2017). Antioxidant α-tocopherol/γ-cyclodextrin inclusion complex encapsulated poly(lactic acid) electrospun nanofibrous web for food packaging. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 134(21), 44858. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.44858
- Cai, M., Zhang, G., Wang, J., Li, C., Cui, H., & Lin, L. (2021). Application of glycyrrhiza polysaccharide nanofibers loaded with tea tree essential oil/gliadin nanoparticles in meat preservation. *Food Bioscience*, 43, 101270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2021.101270
- Carvalho, R. A., Santos, T. A., de Azevedo, V. M., Felix, P. H. C., Dias, M. V., & Borges, S. V. (2018). Bio-nanocomposites

- for food packaging applications: Effect of cellulose nanofibers on morphological, mechanical, optical and barrier properties. *Polymer International*, 67(4), 386–392. https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.5518
- Ceylan, Z., Unal, K., Kutlu, N., Meral, R., Balcı, B. A., & Doğu Baykut, E. (2022). A novel gastronomy application technique for ready-to-eat salmon meat samples: Curcumin and black seed oil nanofibers with sous vide cooking. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 46(6), e16538. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.16538
- Cui, H., Bai, M., Li, C., Liu, R., & Lin, L. (2018). Fabrication of chitosan nanofibers containing tea tree oil liposomes against Salmonella spp. in chicken. *LWT*, 96, 671–678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.06.026
- Delgado-Aguilar, M., Tarrés, Q., Puig, J., Boufi, S., Blanco, Á., & Mutjé, P. (2015). Enzymatic refining and cellulose nanofiber addition in papermaking processes from recycled and deinked slurries. *BioResources*, 10(3), 5730–5743.
- Doğan, C., Doğan, N., Gungor, M., Eticha, A. K., & Akgul, Y. (2022). Novel active food packaging based on centrifugally spun nanofibers containing lavender essential oil: Rapid fabrication, characterization, and application to preserve of minced lamb meat. Food Packaging and Shelf Life, 34, 100942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2022.100942
- Hazarika, K. K., Konwar, A., Borah, A., Saikia, A., Barman, P., & Hazarika, S. (2023). Cellulose nanofiber mediated natural dye based biodegradable bag with freshness

- indicator for packaging of meat and fish. Carbohydrate Polymers, 300, 120241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.120241
- Henriksson, M., Henriksson, G., Berglund, L. A., & Lindström, T. (2007). An environmentally friendly method for enzyme-assisted preparation of microfibrillated cellulose (MFC) nanofibers. European Polymer Journal, 43(8), 3434–3441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2007.05.038
- Ji, D., Lin, Y., Guo, X., Ramasubramanian, B., Wang, R., Radacsi, N., ... & Ramakrishna, S. (2024). Electrospinning of nanofibres. Nature Reviews Methods Primers. 4(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-023-00278-z
- Jiang, C., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., Zhu, X., Nie, J., & Ma, G. (2014). Crosslinked polyelectrolyte complex fiber membrane based on chitosan-sodium alginate by freeze-drying. RSC Advances. 4(78), 41551-41560, https://doi. org/10.1039/C4RA04208E
- Jin, H. J., Fridrikh, S. V., Rutledge, G. C., & Kaplan, D. L. (2002). Electrospinning Bombyx mori silk with poly(ethylene oxide). Biomacromolecules, 3(6), 1233-1239.
- Khaledian, Y., Pajohi-Alamoti, M., & Bazargani-Gilani, B. (2019). Development of cellulose nanofibers coating incorporated with ginger essential oil and citric acid to extend the shelf life of ready-to-cook barbecue chicken. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 43(10), e14114. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14114
- Li, D., Wang, Y., & Xia, Y. (2002). Electrospinning nanofibers as uniaxially aligned arrays and layered scaffolds. Advanced Materials, 16(4), 361-366. https://doi. org/10.1002/adma.200306226
- Li, J., Mooney, D. J., & Xie, J. (2016). Controlled release of bioactive molecules from electrospun fibers: Opportunities for tissue engineering. Advanced Functional Materials, 26(43), 7893-7908.
- Lim, C. T. (2017). Nanofiber technology: Current status and emerging developments. Progress in Polymer Science, 70, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2017.03.002
- Lin, L., Gu, Y., & Cui, H. (2018a). Novel electrospun gelatinglycerin-ε-poly-lysine nanofibers for controlling Listeria monocytogenes on beef. Food Packaging and Shelf Life, 18, 21-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2018.08.004
- Lin, L., Mao, X., Sun, Y., Rajivgandhi, G., & Cui, H. (2019). Antibacterial properties of nanofibers containing chrysanthemum essential oil and their application as beef packaging. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 292, 21-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.12.007
- Lin, L., Xue, L., Duraiarasan, S., & Haiying, C. (2018b). Preparation of ε-polylysine/chitosan nanofibers for food packaging against Salmonella on chicken. Food Packaging and Shelf Life, 17, 134-141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fpsl.2018.06.013
- Liu, Y., Wang, R., Wang, D., Sun, Z., Liu, F., Zhang, D., & Wang, D. (2022). Development of a food packaging

- antibacterial hydrogel based on gelatin, chitosan, and 3-phenyllactic acid for the shelf-life extension of chilled chicken. Food Hydrocolloids, 127, 107546. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2022.107546
- Ma, Z., Kotaki, M., & Ramakrishna, S. (2005). Electrospun cellulose nanofiber as a scaffold for tissue engineering. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A, 72(1), 156-165.
- Mills, E. (2014). Additives Functional. In M. Dikeman & C. Devine (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Meat Sciences (2nd ed., pp. 7–11). Oxford: Academic Press.
- Piras, C. C., Fernández-Prieto, S., & De Borggraeve, W. M. (2019). Ball milling: A green technology for the preparation and functionalisation of nanocellulose derivatives. Nanoscale Advances, 1(3), 937-947. 10.1039/ C8NA00238J
- Serdaroğlu, M., Yüncü-boyacı, Ö., & Karaman, M. (2024). The effects of marination with rosehip infusion on quality parameters of turkey breast fillets. Gida, 49(2), 238-251. https://doi.org/10.15237/gida.GD23142
- Singh, M., Changmai, M., Ghosh, T., & Karwa, A. (2023). Natural resource-based nanobiomaterials: A sustainable material for biomedical applications. Advanced Materials and Manufacturing Techniques for Biomedical Applications, 61-101. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394166985.ch4
- Sofi, H. S., Abdal-Hay, A., Ivanovski, S., Zhang, Y. S., & Sheikh, F. A. (2020). Electrospun nanofibers for the delivery of active drugs through nasal, oral and vaginal mucosa: Current status and future perspectives. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 111, 110756. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.msec.2020.110756
- Wei, A., Wang, J., Wang, X., Hou, D., & Wei, Q. (2012). Morphology and surface properties of poly(L-lactic acid)/ captopril composite nanofiber membranes. Journal of Engineered Fibers and Fabrics, 7(1), 1–15. https://doi. org/10.1177/155892501200700115
- Xie, J., Li, X., Xia, Y., & Mo, X. (2011). Electrospun nanofibers for drug delivery. Journal of Controlled Release, 161(2), 103-110.
- Yeo, M., Kim, C., & Lee, H. (2009). Melt extrusion of polymer nanofibers: Techniques and applications. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 113(4), 2207-2215.
- Yilmaz, M. T., Hassanein, W. S., Alkabaa, A. S., & Ceylan, Z. (2022). Electrospun eugenol-loaded gelatin nanofibers as bioactive packaging materials to preserve quality characteristics of beef. Food Packaging and Shelf Life, 34, 100968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2022.100968
- Zahmatkeshan, M., Adel, M., Bahrami, S., Esmaeili, F., Rezayat, S. M., Saeedi, Y., ... & Ashtari, K. (2019). Polymer-based nanofibers: Preparation, fabrication, and applications. In Handbook of Nanofibers (pp. 215-261). Cham: Springer.
- Zhang, S. (2003). Fabrication of novel biomaterials through molecular self-assembly. Nature Biotechnology, 21(10), 1171-1178. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt874

Authors info (1)



Furkan Şenol, https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0145-0218 Ilgin Uzun, https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5366-6539 Özlem Yüncü-Boyacı, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9112-1427 Meltem Serdaroğlu, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1589-971X